COMMISSION HEARING

TORONTO, ONTARIO – MAY 9, 2013

NOTICE OF DECISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE RACING COMMISSION ACT, S.O. 2000, c.20; AND IN THE MATTER OF THE MIKE SAFTIC APPEAL

Mike Saftic ("Saftic") appealed against Ruling Number SB 45815.

Date of Hearing: May 9, 2013

ORC Panel Members: Commissioner John W. Macdonald

Representative for Appellant: Self-represented

Representative for the Administration: David Stewart

The Panel denied the appeal but varied the penalty.

Saftic will serve his 5-day suspension from May 14 to May 18, 2013, inclusively.

A transcript with the Panel's oral Reasons for Decision is attached to this Notice.

DATED at Toronto this 16th day of May 2013.

Steven Lehman

Executive Director

ONTARIO RACING COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPEAL AND REQUEST FOR HEARING OF MIKE SAFTIC

Held Before:

John W. Macdonald, Commissioner

Reasons in the above-mentioned matter held Before The Ontario Racing Commission, Re: MIKE SAFTIC, taken before Toronto Court Reporters, Suite 1410, 65 Queen Street West, Toronto, Ontario, held at Carlson Court, Suite 400, Toronto, Ontario, on the 9th day of May, 2013.

Appearances:

David Stewart, For the Ontario Racing Commission Administration

TORONTO COURT REPORTERS - TORONTO, ONTARIO

2

	THE COMMISSIONER: Mr. Saftic, I watched
2	the video, seen them all. To me, while it's of
3	little doubt that certain occasions there was
4	motion not just holding it against the hind
5	quarters, it appeared to be an upper motion.
6	It wasn't you are quite right the urging
7	rules were designed to help the public
8	understand that we're not allowing
9	indiscriminate hitting of the horse,
10	particularly here. The other two offences were
11	there and the judges has indicated Mr. Walker
12	that he felt their hands were tied, but they
13	still decided it was (inaudible) whip.
14	Yes, there was a use of whip and
15	it wasn't like you were beating the horse like
16	that. I can appreciate that. So the
17	circumstances are different than some of the
18	urging rules where there have been violations
19	where people appeared before me where there was
20	a little excessive use. And I don't think what
21	I saw here was excessive, although there was
22	certainly a motion.
23	While I'm going to deny the
24	appeal, I'm going to vary the sentence that will
25	go to suspending \$300 of the fine. So the fine

TORONTO COURT REPORTERS - TORONTO, ONTARIO

1	will be \$200, and I will also suspend the days	
2	from 15 down the five, provided the suspension	
3	lasts for one year, if there are no subsequent	
4	violations and the slate would be wiped clean.	
5	In other words, if there are no violations,	
6	urging violations, within the next year, the	
7	portion of the fine and the time of suspension	
8	will be absolved. So you still have, sitting	
9	here, the 15 days and the 500, but at this stage	
10	it's 200 and five days.	
11	Now as to the time, I'm assuming	
12	that there is stay of offence?	
13	MR. STEWART: If there is another	
14	violation by Mr. Saftic?	
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Then the extra	
16	10 days and extra \$300 will apply.	
17	MR. STEWART: Will apply, plus	
18	whatever penalty he receives for that violation	
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. I'm not	
20	going to pre-judge the case in the future. So	
21	we're down to where it's the same basic fine	
22	that you had on February 16th.	
23		
	Whereupon at 11:47 a.m. the proceedings	
25	were concluded.	

TORONTO COURT REPORTERS - TORONTO, ONTARIO

4 * * * * * * * *

2	
	This is to hereby certify that the forgoing is a true
4	and accurate transcript of the proceedings to the best
5	of my skill and ability.
6	
7	
8	Sandra Brereton
9	Certified Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25